



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 8027864

Date: NOV. 27, 2020

Appeal of Nebraska Service Center Decision

Form I-140, Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker (Advanced Degree, Exceptional Ability, National Interest Waiver)

The Petitioner, a taekwondo athlete and coach, seeks second preference immigrant classification as an individual of exceptional ability, as well as a national interest waiver of the job offer requirement attached to this EB-2 classification. See Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 203(b)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2).

The Director of the Nebraska Service Center denied the petition, concluding that the Petitioner did not qualify for classification as an individual of exceptional ability, and that he had not had not established that a waiver of the required job offer, and thus of the labor certification, would be in the national interest.

On appeal, the Petitioner submits a brief asserting that he is eligible for exceptional ability classification and a national interest waiver.

In these proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Upon de novo review, we will dismiss the appeal.

I. LAW

To establish eligibility for a national interest waiver, a petitioner must first demonstrate qualification for the underlying EB-2 visa classification, as either an advanced degree professional or an individual of exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business. Because this classification requires that the individual's services be sought by a U.S. employer, a separate showing is required to establish that a waiver of the job offer requirement is in the national interest.

Section 203(b) of the Act sets out this sequential framework:

- (2) Aliens who are members of the professions holding advanced degrees or aliens of exceptional ability. –

(A) In general. – Visas shall be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are members of the professions holding advanced degrees or their equivalent or who because of their exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business, will substantially benefit prospectively the national economy, cultural or educational interests, or welfare of the United States, and whose services in the sciences, arts, professions, or business are sought by an employer in the United States.

(B) Waiver of job offer –

(i) National interest waiver. . . . [T]he Attorney General may, when the Attorney General deems it to be in the national interest, waive the requirements of subparagraph (A) that an alien’s services in the sciences, arts, professions, or business be sought by an employer in the United States.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(2) contains the following relevant definition: “Exceptional ability in the sciences, arts, or business means a degree of expertise significantly above that ordinarily encountered in the sciences, arts, or business.” In addition, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii) sets forth the specific evidentiary requirements for demonstrating eligibility as an individual of exceptional ability. A petitioner must submit documentation that satisfies at least three of the six categories of evidence listed at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii).

Furthermore, while neither the statute nor the pertinent regulations define the term “national interest,” we set forth a framework for adjudicating national interest waiver petitions in the precedent decision *Matter of Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. 884 (AAO 2016).¹ *Dhanasar* states that after a petitioner has established eligibility for EB-2 classification, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, as matter of discretion², grant a national interest waiver if the petitioner demonstrates: (1) that the foreign national’s proposed endeavor has both substantial merit and national importance; (2) that the foreign national is well positioned to advance the proposed endeavor; and (3) that, on balance, it would be beneficial to the United States to waive the requirements of a job offer and thus of a labor certification.

The first prong, substantial merit and national importance, focuses on the specific endeavor that the foreign national proposes to undertake. The endeavor’s merit may be demonstrated in a range of areas such as business, entrepreneurialism, science, technology, culture, health, or education. In determining whether the proposed endeavor has national importance, we consider its potential prospective impact.

The second prong shifts the focus from the proposed endeavor to the foreign national. To determine whether he or she is well positioned to advance the proposed endeavor, we consider factors including, but not limited to: the individual’s education, skills, knowledge and record of success in related or similar efforts; a model or plan for future activities; any progress towards achieving the proposed

¹ In announcing this new framework, we vacated our prior precedent decision, *Matter of New York State Department of Transportation*, 22 I&N Dec. 215 (Act. Assoc. Comm’r 1998) (NYSDOT).

² See also *Poursina v. USCIS*, No. 17-16579, 2019 WL 4051593 (Aug. 28, 2019) (finding USCIS’ decision to grant or deny a national interest waiver to be discretionary in nature).

endeavor; and the interest of potential customers, users, investors, or other relevant entities or individuals.

The third prong requires the petitioner to demonstrate that, on balance, it would be beneficial to the United States to waive the requirements of a job offer and thus of a labor certification. In performing this analysis, USCIS may evaluate factors such as: whether, in light of the nature of the foreign national's qualifications or the proposed endeavor, it would be impractical either for the foreign national to secure a job offer or for the petitioner to obtain a labor certification; whether, even assuming that other qualified U.S. workers are available, the United States would still benefit from the foreign national's contributions; and whether the national interest in the foreign national's contributions is sufficiently urgent to warrant forgoing the labor certification process. In each case, the factor(s) considered must, taken together, indicate that on balance, it would be beneficial to the United States to waive the requirements of a job offer and thus of a labor certification.³

II. ANALYSIS

A. Exceptional Ability

The Petitioner asserted that he meets at least three of the regulatory criteria for classification as an individual of exceptional ability. In denying the petition, the Director determined that the Petitioner fulfilled only the membership criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(E) and the recognition for achievements criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(F).

In the appeal brief, the Petitioner claims that he also meets the ten years of full-time experience criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(B) and the license to practice the profession criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(C), but does not specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact relating to the Director's determinations for these criteria. Nor does the appeal brief even reference the Director's discussion regarding the aforementioned criteria. Additionally, while the Petitioner states that "[b]ased on the evidence submitted," he has met the criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii)(B), the Petitioner does not identify the evidence. Without offering specific arguments to overcome the Director's findings, the Petitioner has not established that he satisfies at least three of the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(k)(3)(ii) and has achieved the level of expertise required for exceptional ability classification.

B. National Interest Waiver

The remaining issue to be determined is whether the Petitioner has established that a waiver of the requirement of a job offer, and thus a labor certification, would be in the national interest. For the reasons discussed below, we agree with the Director that the Petitioner has not sufficiently demonstrated the national importance of his proposed endeavor under the first prong of the Dhanasar analytical framework.

Regarding his claim of eligibility under Dhanasar's first prong, the Petitioner indicated that he intends to continue his career as a taekwondo athlete and coach. He asserted that he is a current member of USA

³ See Dhanasar, 26 I&N Dec. at 888-91, for elaboration on these three prongs.

Taekwondo and that he plans engage in his sport as both a competitor and an instructor representing [redacted]. The record includes an August 2018 letter from [redacted] head coach at [redacted] stating: “[T]he discipline and life skills that a child can learn from martial arts can have a profound positive impact on their development and future potential. [The Petitioner] has been a member of our team of expert martial arts professionals since 2018.”⁴ Mr. [redacted] further explained that the Petitioner’s proposed work involves “demonstrating proper taekwondo techniques,” “sharing his extensive experience” in the sport, and “passing along his skills and abilities to the next generation.” In addition, [redacted] noted that [redacted] “will continue to help [the Petitioner] further his skills and career” and “find competitions to participate in and have him represent our club.” We agree with the Director that the Petitioner’s proposed work as taekwondo athlete and coach has substantial merit.

In determining national importance, the relevant question is not the importance of the industry or profession in which the individual will work; instead we focus on the “the specific endeavor that the foreign national proposes to undertake.” See *Dhanasar*, 26 I&N Dec. at 889. In *Dhanasar*, we further noted that “we look for broader implications” of the proposed endeavor and that “[a]n undertaking may have national importance for example, because it has national or even global implications within a particular field.” *Id.* We also stated that “[a]n endeavor that has significant potential to employ U.S. workers or has other substantial positive economic effects, particularly in an economically depressed area, for instance, may well be understood to have national importance.” *Id.* at 890.

On appeal, the Petitioner contends that his proposed work “will provide a significant national benefit by promoting sport of taekwondo and training next generation of exceptional athletes.” He asserts that his undertaking stands to “have a broad impact in the U.S. beyond that of benefiting [the Petitioner] or his direct employers.”⁵

To evaluate whether the Petitioner’s proposed endeavor satisfies the national importance requirement we look to evidence documenting the “potential prospective impact” of his work. While the Petitioner’s documentation reflects his intention to compete and coach on behalf of [redacted] he has not offered sufficient information and evidence to demonstrate that the prospective impact of his proposed endeavor rises to the level of national importance. For example, he has not demonstrated that his involvement as a competitor stands to impact USA Taekwondo, the U.S. national team, or his sport at a level consistent with having national importance. Nor has he shown that his proposed coaching work is at a level that would offer national implications for taekwondo instruction, or that the implications of such work stand to impact the sport more broadly. In *Dhanasar* we determined that the petitioner’s teaching activities did not rise to the level of having national importance because they would not impact his field more broadly. *Id.* at 893. Here, we conclude the record does not show that the Petitioner’s proposed endeavor stands to sufficiently extend beyond [redacted] to impact the sport of taekwondo more broadly at a level commensurate with national importance.

⁴ As the Petitioner is applying for a waiver of the job offer requirement, it is not necessary for him to have a job offer from a specific employer. However, we will consider information about his current position to illustrate the capacity in which he intends to work in order to determine whether his proposed endeavor meets the requirements of the *Dhanasar* analytical framework.

⁵ The Petitioner also discusses his athletic and coaching accomplishments, but these claims regarding his past record of success in his sport relate to the second prong of the *Dhanasar* framework, which “shifts the focus from the proposed endeavor to the foreign national.” *Id.* at 890.

Furthermore, the Petitioner has not demonstrated that the specific endeavor he proposes to undertake has significant potential to employ U.S. workers or otherwise offers substantial positive economic effects for our nation. Without sufficient information or evidence regarding any projected U.S. economic impact or job creation attributable to his future work, the record does not show that benefits to the U.S. regional or national economy resulting from the Petitioner's competing and coaching would reach the level of "substantial positive economic effects" contemplated by Dhanasar. *Id.* at 890. Accordingly, the Petitioner's proposed work does not meet the first prong of the Dhanasar framework.

Because the documentation in the record does not establish the national importance of his proposed endeavor as required by the first prong of the Dhanasar precedent decision, the Petitioner has not demonstrated eligibility for a national interest waiver. Further analysis of his eligibility under the second and third prongs outlined in Dhanasar, therefore, would serve no meaningful purpose.

III. CONCLUSION

The Petitioner has not established that he satisfies the regulatory requirements for classification as a as an individual of exceptional ability. Furthermore, as the Petitioner has not met the requisite first prong of the Dhanasar analytical framework, we conclude that he has not established he is eligible for or otherwise merits a national interest waiver as a matter of discretion. The appeal will be dismissed for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternate basis for the decision.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.